

Contents

1. APCs in historical linguistics

1.1	Indoeuropean data	p. 1
1.2	Ancient theories	7
1.3	Modern theories	22
1.3.1	The semantic perspective	24
1.3.2	The syntactic perspective	26
1.3.3	The typological perspective	27
1.3.4	The pragmatic perspective	28
1.4	A warning for further research	29

2. Later developments of APCs

2.1	The Greek and Latin accusative absolute	31
2.2	The Greek dative absolute	34
2.3	The Latin genitive absolute	42
2.4	APCs in Gothic	62
2.5	APCs in the Italic languages	81
2.6	Conclusive remarks	92

3. Greek APCs versus Latin APCs

3.1	Specific characters of comparative syntax	97
3.2	Absolute and conjunct participles	99
3.3	Inherent versus structural case	109
3.4	Internal syntax of Greek APCs versus Latin APCs	113
3.4.1	Elliptical constructions	113
3.4.2	Negation	117
3.4.3	Lexical constraints on the predicative element	119

3.5	External syntax of Greek APCs versus Latin APCs	123
3.5.1	Interruption in linear adjacency	123
3.5.2	Dislocation of APCs	125
3.5.3	Coreferential APCs	127
3.6	Phrasal account of the syntactic differences between Greek and Latin APCs	130
3.7	Case Theory (Latin)	133
3.8	Case Theory (Greek)	140
3.8.1	The ‘null complementizer – hypothesis’	141
3.8.2	The ‘logophoric hypothesis’	147
3.8.3	The nominative absolute and the two hypotheses	158
3.9	A comparison with Sanskrit APCs	160

4. Coreferential APCs in Greek

4.1	Coreferential APCs	167
4.2	Linear order	175
4.3	(Pro)nominal ellipsis	181
4.4	Conclusions	189

Appendix 1

Abbreviations	193
---------------	-----

Appendix 2

Greek and Latin texts in the sample	195
-------------------------------------	-----

Appendix 3

Actionality in APCs	199
---------------------	-----

Appendix 4.1

Full transcription of the APCs found in the texts of the Greek sample	227
---	-----

Appendix 4.2

Full transcription of the APCs found in the texts of the Latin sample	303
---	-----

Bibliographical references	345
----------------------------	-----